

Republic of the Philippines Supreme Court Office of the Court Administrator Manila

OCA CIRCULAR NO. 129-2015

TO

THE COURT OF APPEALS, SANDIGANBAYAN, COURT OF TAX APPEALS, REGIONAL TRIAL COURTS, SHARI'A DISTRICT COURTS, METROPOLITAN TRIAL COURTS, MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS IN CITIES, MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS, MUNICIPAL CIRCUIT TRIAL COURTS, SHARI'A CIRCUIT COURTS, THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF STATE PROSECUTOR, PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THE INTEGRATED BAR OF THE PHILIPPINES

SUBJECT:

SUSPENSION FROM THE PRACTICE OF LAW FOR SIX (6) MONTHS OF ATTY. PLARIDEL C. NAVA II

For your information and guidance, quoted hereunder is the dispositive portion of the Resolution of the Second Division dated 06 February 2013 in Administrative Case No. 6433 entitled "Atty. Ofelia D. Artuz vs. Atty. Plaridel C. Nava II" to wit:

The Court resolves to **ADOPT** and **APPROVE** the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations of the Investigating Commissioner in the attached Report dated 11 April 2006 (Annex "A"), as approved with modification by the Board of Governors of the Integrated Bar of the Philippines in the IBP Board Resolution No. XVII-2006-454 dated 8 September 2006 (Annex "B") and affirmed with modification by the Office of the Bar Confidant in its Report dated 20 January 2012 (Annex "C"). Accordingly, the Court **SUSPENDS** respondent Atty. Plaridel C. Nava II from the practice of law for six (6) months for grave misconduct with warning that a repetition of the same or similar act shall be dealt with more severely.

In a Resolution dated 19 June 2013, the Court **DENIED** with **FINALITY** the respondent's motion for reconsideration for lack of merit. Further, in a Resolution dated 11 November 2013, the Court **GRANTED** respondent's request and **DECLARED** the suspension from the practice of law to commence on 01 December 2013.

30 June 2015

JOSE MIDAS P. MARQUEZ