



Republic of the Philippines
Supreme Court
Office of the Court Administrator
Manila

OCA CIRCULAR NO. 148-2011

TO : THE COURT OF APPEALS,
SANDIGANBAYAN, COURT OF TAX
APPEALS, REGIONAL TRIAL COURTS,
SHARI'A DISTRICT COURTS,
METROPOLITAN TRIAL COURTS,
MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS IN CITIES,
MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS, MUNICIPAL
CIRCUIT TRIAL COURTS, SHARI'A CIRCUIT
COURTS, THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF STATE
PROSECUTOR, PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S
OFFICE AND THE INTEGRATED BAR OF THE
PHILIPPINES

SUBJECT: DISBARMENT OF ATTY. ANASTACIO
REVILLA, JR.

For your information and guidance, quoted hereunder is the dispositive portion of the Decision of the Honorable Court *En Banc* dated 4 December 2009, in Administrative Case No. 7054, entitled "**Conrado Que vs. Atty. Anastacio Revilla, Jr.**", to wit:

"**WHEREFORE**, premises considered, we hereby **AFFIRM** Resolution No. XVII-2005-164 dated December 17, 2005 and Resolution No. XVII-2008-657 dated December 11, 2008 of the Board of Governors of the IBP Committee on Bar Discipline insofar as respondent Atty. Anastacio Revilla, Jr. is *found liable for professional misconduct* for violations of the Lawyer's Oath; Canon 8, Rules 10.01 and 10.03, Canon 10; Rules 12.02 and 12.04, Canon 12; and Rule 19.01, Canon 19 of the Code of Professional Responsibility; and Sections 20(d), 21 and 27 of Rule 138 of the Rules of Court. However, we modify the penalty the IBP imposed, and hold that the respondent should be **DISBARRED** from the practice of law."

In the Resolution of the Honorable Court *En Banc* dated 2 February 2010, the Court Resolved to **DENY WITH FINALITY**, for lack of merit, the Appeal/Motion for Reconsideration of the Per Curiam Decision of December 4, 2009, dated 4 January 2010 filed by the respondent and his spouse.

October 24, 2011.


JOSE MIDAS P. MARQUEZ
Court Administrator