



Republic of the Philippines
Supreme Court
Office of the Court Administrator
Manila

OCA CIRCULAR NO. 104-2020

TO : THE COURT OF APPEALS, SANDIGANBAYAN,
COURT OF TAX APPEALS, REGIONAL TRIAL
COURTS, SHARIA DISTRICT COURTS,
METROPOLITAN TRIAL COURTS, MUNICIPAL
TRIAL COURTS IN CITIES, MUNICIPAL TRIAL
COURTS, MUNICIPAL CIRCUIT TRIAL
COURTS, SHARIA CIRCUIT COURTS, THE
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF STATE PROSECUTOR,
PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THE
INTEGRATED BAR OF THE PHILIPPINES

SUBJECT : LIFTING OF SUSPENSION FROM THE
PRACTICE OF LAW OF ATTY. PACIFICO M.
MAGHARI III

For your information and guidance, quoted hereunder is the Resolution dated 5 February 2020 of the Third Division in A.C. No. 10525, titled "Intestate Estate of Jose Uy, herein represented by its Administrator, Wilson Uy vs. Atty. Pacifico M. Maghari III", and A.C. No. 11229 "Re: Resolution dated January 13, 2016 in OCA IPI No. 14-4223-RTJ (Intestate Estate of Jose Uy, represented by Wilson Uy vs. Judge Estefanio S. Libutan, Jr.) vs. Atty. Pacifico M. Maghari III," to wit:

The Court resolves to GRANT respondent's Motion to Lift Suspension dated October 9, 2019 praying that he be reinstated from the practice of law considering that he has duly served his two (2)-year suspension from January 5, 2016 to January 5, 2018 and that all the conditions for his reinstatement had been met.


Acting on the Report and Recommendation dated January 17, 2020 of the Office of the Bar Confidant (OBC), relative to the Integrated Bar of the Philippines Resolution dated May 28, 2019, which dismissed the administrative complaint against respondent in A.C. No. 11229, the Court, upon the recommendation of the OBC, further resolves to LIFT the order of suspension against


respondent and ALLOW him to RESUME his law practice.

The said report and recommendation of the OBC is NOTED.

Case is considered CLOSED and TERMINATED.

30 June 2020


JOSE MIDAS P. MARQUEZ
Court Administrator

MMA/F&C/rainier/lift-sus_magharilll.doc