



Republic of the Philippines  
Supreme Court  
Office of the Court Administrator  
Manila

OCA CIRCULAR NO. 159-2023

**TO** : THE COURT OF APPEALS, SANDIGANBAYAN, COURT OF TAX APPEALS, REGIONAL TRIAL COURTS, SHARI'AH DISTRICT COURTS, METROPOLITAN TRIAL COURTS, MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS IN CITIES, MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS, MUNICIPAL CIRCUIT TRIAL COURTS, SHARI'AH CIRCUIT COURTS, THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF STATE PROSECUTOR, PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THE INTEGRATED BAR OF THE PHILIPPINES

**SUBJECT** : SUSPENSION OF ATTY. RICHARD R. ENOJO FROM THE PRACTICE OF LAW FOR SIX (6) MONTHS

For your information and guidance, quoted hereunder is the dispositive portion of the Resolution dated 06 April 2022 of the Court (Second Division) in A.C. No. 13211, titled "Angeles P. Gajunera vs. Atty. Richard R. Enojo," to wit:

WHEREFORE, in view of the foregoing, the Court finds respondent Atty. Richard R. Enojo **GUILTY** of violating Canon 17, Canon 18, and Rule 18.03 of the Code of Professional Responsibility. Accordingly, the Court hereby **SUSPENDS** him from the practice of law for **SIX (6) MONTHS** effective immediately upon receipt of this Resolution. He is **STERNLY WARNED** that a repetition of the same or similar acts will be dealt with more severely.

Atty. Richard R. Enojo is **DIRECTED** to immediately file a Manifestation to this Court that his suspension has started, copy furnished all courts and quasi-judicial bodies where he has entered his appearance as counsel.

Atty. Richard R. Enojo is also **ORDERED** to **RETURN** to complainant Angeles P. Gajunera the amount of ₱20,000.00 with legal interest of six percent (6%) per *annum* from finality of this Resolution until fully paid.

Let copies of this Resolution be furnished to: (1) the Office of the Bar Confidant to be appended to respondent's personal record as an attorney; (2) the Integrated Bar of the Philippines for its information and guidance; and (3) the Office of the Court Administrator for circulation to all courts in the country.

In a Resolution dated 01 February 2023, acting on respondent's motion for reconsideration of the Resolution dated 06 April 2022, the Court (Special Second Division) resolved to DENY the motion with FINALITY for lack of substantial merit.

17 April 2023



RAUL B. VILLANUEVA  
Court Administrator