

Republic of the Philippines Supreme Court Office of the Court Administrator Manila

OCA CIRCULAR NO. 243-2023

TO

THE COURT OF APPEALS, SANDIGANBAYAN, COURT OF TAX APPEALS, REGIONAL TRIAL COURTS. **SHARI'AH** DISTRICT COURTS. METROPOLITAN TRIAL COURTS, MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS IN CITIES, MUNICIPAL TRIAL COURTS. **MUNICIPAL** CIRCUIT TRIAL COURTS, SHARI'AH CIRCUIT COURTS, THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF STATE PROSECUTOR. **PUBLIC** ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND THE INTEGRATED BAR OF THE PHILIPPINES

SUBJECT :

PROHIBITION FROM BEING COMMISSIONED AS A NOTARY PUBLIC FOR A PERIOD OF TWO (2) YEARS AND REVOCATION OF A NOTARIAL COMMISSION OF ATTY. JOSEPH U. BERNALDEZ

For your information and guidance, quoted hereunder is the dispositive portion of the Resolution dated 28 March 2022 of the Court (Second Division) in A.C. No. 8993, titled "Spouses Arturo A. Villegas and Erlinda Q. Villegas vs. Atty. Joseph U. Bernaldez," to wit:

The Court RESOLVES to ADOPT and APPROVE findings conclusions of fact, of law. recommendation of the Integrated Bar of the Philippines in the attached Resolutions dated August 10, 20141 and November 29, 2016.² Accordingly, the Court hereby PROHIBITS respondent Atty. Joseph U. Bernaldez (respondent) from being commissioned as notary public for a period of two (2) years; and REVOKES his incumbent commission as notary public, if any. He is STERNLY WARNED that a repetition of the same or similar acts in the future shall be dealt with more severely.

The prohibition from being commissioned as notary public and the revocation of his Notarial commission, if any, shall take effect immediately upon receipt of this Resolution by respondent. He is

Rollo, pp. 88-89. Signed by National Secretary Nasser A. Marohomsalic.

² Id. at 86-87. Signed by Secretary for the Meeting Avelino V. Sales, Jr.

DIRECTED to immediately file a Manifestation to the Court that his penalty has started, copy furnished all courts and quasi-judicial bodies where he has entered his appearance as counsel.

Let copies of this Resolution be furnished to: (1) the Office of the Bar Confidant to be appended to respondent's personal record as an attorney; (2) the Integrated Bar of the Philippines for its information and guidance; and (3) the Office of the Court Administrator for circulation to all courts in the country.

In a Resolution dated 13 March 2023, acting on the respondent's motion for reconsideration (with prayer to hold in abeyance the implementation of revocation order) of the Resolution dated 28 March 2022, the Court DENIED the motion with FINALITY for lack of substantial merit, and DENIED the prayer for lack of merit.

AUL I

Court Administrator

29 June 2023

MMA/REVFRC/rainier/sus_bernaldez.doc